Office of Graduate Programs School of Engineering and Applied Science Thornton Hall A108 Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

20200529

# **Graduate Engineering Thesis & Dissertation Assessment**

Student NameComp IDSIS ID(last, first middle)(e.g. mst3k)(office use)

Program M.S. Thesis Ph.D. Dissertation

SCORING: 5 = excellent; 3 = adequate; 1 = unsatisfactory (or NA)

Score Comments

## 1. Defining the Problem

Has the student stated the problem clearly, provided its motivation, and the requirements for a solution?

#### 2. Analysis of Previous and Related Work

Has the student evaluated prior/current approaches and clearly described how they are lacking? Has the student's work been compared with previous/competing work?

## 3. Establishing Success Criteria

Has the student adequately defined the measure(s) of success to be used to evaluate the work? Is there a well defined metric with a goal?Does the metric adequately represent the desired success criteria?

#### 4. Solution

Is the approach taken well executed? Does it appear to be correct? Is the work technically challenging? Does the student utilize appropriate professional standards?

#### 5. Innovation and Risk

Has the student considered the extent to which the results depend on the accuracy of the parameters and assumptions?

## 6. Broader implications

Has the student considered the broader implications of the work? Broader implications may include social, economic, political, technical, ethical, business, etc. aspects.

- 7. Writing Quality
- 8. Presentation Quality
- 9. Ability to Answer Questions

#### 10. Publication

Has the work been submitted for publication? (Score 1 - 5 on the committee's assessment of the quality of the publication record)

## **Faculty Evaluator**

Name Signature